UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

| V. | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL |
|---|--|
| Archie Broom | Case Number: 05-80025 |
| Defendant | |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 Udetention of the defendant pending trial in this case | J.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the e. |
| Part I—Findings of Fact | |
| or local offense that would have been a f a crime of violence as defined in 18 an offense for which the maximum s | e described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a |
| a felony that was committed after th | e defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. |
| § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has | |
| | rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the mmunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. |
| Alternative Findings (A) | |
| (1) There is probable cause to believe that the | |
| _ • • • | sonment of ten years or more is prescribed in |
| under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presur the appearance of the defendant as required. | nption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure red and the safety of the community. |
| _ | Alternative Findings (B) |
| (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant | will not appear. will endanger the safety of another person or the community. |
| | |
| Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention | |
| I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by \Box clear and convincing evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence that | |
| Petition for bond revocation based on non-corevocation hearing set for 10/1/05. Pretrial re | ompliance with curfew - to attempted to contact defendant were unsuccessful - bond ecommends detention. |
| The defendant is committed to the custody of the to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or reasonable opportunity for private consultation we Government, the person in charge of the correction in connection with a court proceeding. | Part III—Directions Regarding Detention le Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a ith defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the as facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance |
| September 28, 2005 | s/R. Steven Whalen |
| Date | Signature of Judge MAGISTRATE HIDGE B STEVEN WHALEN |
| | MAGISTRATE JUDGE R. STEVEN WHALEN Name and Title of Judge |

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).